

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

JERRY D. ALFORD,)	CASE NO. 5:21-cv-2345
)	
)	
PETITIONER,)	CHIEF JUDGE SARA LIOI
)	
vs.)	
)	
WARDEN KEITH J. FOLEY,)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
)	
)	
RESPONDENT.)	

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Amanda M. Knapp in the above-entitled action. Under the relevant statute:

[. . .] Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). In this case, the fourteen-day period has elapsed and no objections have been filed by petitioner Jerry D. Alford. The failure to file written objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation constitutes a forfeiture of a *de novo* determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. *Berkshire v. Dahl*, 928 F.3d 520, 530 (6th Cir. 2019).

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's R&R and agrees with its recommendation. The Report and Recommendation is hereby **ADOPTED** and Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus

(Docket No. 1) is DENIED. Furthermore, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R.App. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 17, 2024



HONORABLE SARA LIOI
CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT